

The Angolan Economy

The return to a lower oil price environment

The oil-price war between Saudi Arabia and Russia that resulted from the failure of OPEC+ partners to come to an agreement on their production strategy going forward led prices to crash more than 35% in just a few days. Brent currently stands near US\$27, which compares with a price of around US\$50 before the infamous Vienna meeting earlier this month. In previous oil-price wars, prices gradually declined over several months and not so abruptly as this latest collapse. Also, all of them lasted more than one year and prices fell by at least 50%. The current situation is somewhat different, as the existing demand shock is so significant and has never taken place at the same time as this supply shock. Therefore, uncertainty about the outlook for global crude prices is likely to persist, and will depend on how long Saudi Arabia's latest strategy of shock-and-awe the oil market will last and how quickly everyone at OPEC+ will return to the negotiation table.

Angola remains highly dependent on the oil sector, as the local authorities' efforts to improve economic diversification will take time to show a more significant impact. Indeed, the latest figures show that the oil sector still accounted for more than 96% of the country's exports (two-thirds of these going to China) and 60% of government proceeds last year. As a result, if prolonged, a significantly lower crude price could have huge implications for public receipts and the Angolan economy.

We carried out a sensitivity analysis (included in the next page) to try to determine the impact that different oil price scenarios would have on the government's existing revenue projections included in the 2020 budget. Our calculations show that receipts could fall short of the current target for this year by as much as 35% if average oil prices stand at 25 US\$ (vs. current oil price assumption of 55 US\$). This amounts to US\$ 5.6 billion lower proceeds, or 7.4% of GDP forecasted for this year.

We believe this lower crude price environment will lead the Angolan government to announce a revised budget for 2020 in the near future, possibly including a relevant cut (and/or a reprioritization) in public expenditures. The government could also (1) accelerate its efforts to capture more revenues from the non-oil sector, (2) announce new financing deals with multilaterals and/or (3) issue debt in international markets (Eurobonds). A mixture of these measures is the most likely scenario considering that an aggressive reduction in public expenditures would further depress economic activity in the country, which remains quite fragile, while relying largely on debt financing would put additional pressure on already distressed public debt levels (representing c100% of GDP). Angola's president has already authorized the issue of up to US\$ 3 billion in Eurobonds. However, an eventual deal will likely have to wait until market conditions improve after yields surged in recent days.

These latest developments in the global oil market will surely put additional pressure on largely oil dependent countries like Angola, with its credit ratings (highly speculative, according to S&P's) making it one to carefully monitor. Although gross international reserves represent a somewhat comfortable eight months of prospective imports, the kwanza is already showing some signs of strain. The local authorities' next steps will be critical, namely in terms of providing a strong signal to investors of their continued commitment to fiscal consolidation, even in these challenging times. But Angola will also need the assistance of institutions like the IMF, as the risk of running into debt repayment problems is now materially higher than before.

Research March 2020

Economics
Tiago Bossa Dionísio
(+351) 964 643 530
tiago.dionisio@eaglestone.eu

OIL PRICE SENSITIVITY ANALYSIS AND AFRICAN EUROBONDS

We have collected data on the performance of the oil sector since the year 2010 and present it the table below. Our sensitivity analysis considers an average oil price range of US\$ 25 and US\$ 55, which is the assumption included in the government's 2020 budget, and an implied tax ranging from 30% to 40% based on the data collected in recent years. We also assume an average US\$/AKZ exchange rate of 540.0 for the year to reflect a depreciation of 30% to the average exchange rate of 2019.

Our sensitivity analysis considers an average oil price range of US\$ 25-55 per barrel in 2020

OIL/FISCAL ACCOUNTS											
	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019 (1)	2020 (2)
Oil Sector											
Annual oil production (million) (A)	641.5	605.9	631.9	626.3	610.2	648.5	630.1	597.6	539.8	523.7	524.5
Average daily oil production (million bpd)	1.76	1.66	1.73	1.72	1.67	1.78	1.73	1.64	1.48	1.43	1.44
Average oil price (US\$ per barrel) (B)	77.9	110.1	111.6	107.7	96.9	53.7	40.9	53.9	70.6	55.0	55.0
Oil revenues (US\$ million) = $(A) \times (B)$	49,969	66,710	70,520	67,453	59,125	34,824	25,771	32,211	38,110	28,804	28,848
Exchange rate (US\$/AKZ)	91.1	94.0	95.4	96.6	98.3	120.1	164.0	165.9	252.9	368.1	543.3
Oil revenues (AKZ billion) (C)	4,552	6,271	6,728	6,516	5,812	4,182	4,227	5,344	9,636	10,602	15,674
Government Accounts											
Total revenues (AKZ billion) (D)	3,296	4,776	5,054	4,849	4,403	3,367	2,900	3,543	5,860	5,986	8,611
Oil related tax revenues (AKZ billion) (E)	2,500	3,817	4,103	3,630	2,970	1,898	1,373	2,009	3,715	3,568	5,581
Implied tax rate (oil-related) = $(E)/(C)$	54.9%	60.9%	61.0%	55.7%	51.1%	45.4%	32.5%	37.6%	38.6%	33.7%	35.6%
Oil related tax revenues / Total revenues = $(E) / (D)$	75.9%	79.9%	81.2%	74.9%	67.5%	56.4%	47.3%	56.7%	63.4%	59.6%	64.8%

(1) Revised Budget; (2) Budget Proposal. Sources: Angolan authorities and Eaglestone Securities

As an example, if the average oil price reaches US\$ 25 per barrel this year (vs. the existing forecast of US\$ 55) and assuming an implied tax rate of 35.6% then total revenues would be 35.3% lower than the current budget forecast. This also means that the budget deficit would reach 6.0% of GDP this year rather than the current projection of a surplus of 1.2% of GDP for the same level of expenditures.

If the average oil price reaches US\$ 25 in 2020 then total revenues would be about 35% lower than the government's current forecast

Change in	Change in Revenues vs Budget 2020											
		Average Oil Price (US\$)										
		25.0	30.0	35.0	40.0	45.0	50.0	55.0				
	30.0%	-40.0%	-35.0%	-30.1%	-25.1%	-20.1%	-15.2%	-10.2%				
Implied	32.5%	-37.9%	-32.5%	-27.2%	-21.8%	-16.4%	-11.0%	-5.6%				
Tax Rate	35.6%	-35.3%	-29.5%	-23.6%	-17.7%	-11.8%	-5.9%					
Tax Kate	37.5%	-33.8%	-27.6%	-21.4%	-15.2%	-9.0%	-2.8%	3.5%				
	40.0%	-31.7%	-25.1%	-18.5%	-11.9%	-5.2%	1.4%	8.0%				

Source: Eaglestone Securities.

	Average Oil Price (US\$)										
		25.0	30.0	35.0	40.0	45.0	50.0	55.0			
Implied Tax Rate	30.0%	-6.9%	-5.9%	-4.9%	-3.9%	-2.9%	-1.9%	-0.9%			
	32.5%	-6.5%	-5.4%	-4.3%	-3.2%	-2.1%	-1.0%	0.1%			
	35.6%	-6.0%	-4.8%	-3.6%	-2.4%	-1.2%	0.0%				
Tax Kate	37.5%	-5.7%	-4.4%	-3.1%	-1.9%	-0.6%	0.7%	1.9%			
	40.0%	-5.2%	-3.9%	-2.5%	-1.2%	0.2%	1.5%	2.9%			

The table below provides the latest yields for several African Eurobonds, including Angola's issues in 2015, 2018 and, more recently, in November 2019. We note the significant changes in the Eurobond yields for oil producing countries like Angola, Nigeria, Gabon and Ghana, which reflect the latest developments in global oil markets.

Eurobond yields for oil producing countries surged in recent weeks after the collapse in oil prices

African Eurobonds			Yields		Cha	Last 12 Months			
Country	Maturity	Coupon	20/03/2020	1 Week	1 Month	1 Year	Year-to-Date	Low	High
Angola	12/11/2025	9.500%	20.292%	0.475%	23.727%	18.334%	22.568%	5.727%	23.124%
Angola	09/05/2028	8.250%	17.649%	0.943%	13.391%	11.131%	12.589%	6.694%	19.339%
Angola	08/05/2048	9.375%	16.153%	1.112%	8.859%	8.219%	8.484%	8.177%	17.197%
Angola	26/11/2029	8.000%	16.491%	0.893%	11.124%	8.537%	10.805%	6.806%	17.846%
Angola	26/11/2049	9.125%	15.668%	0.900%	8.006%	6.573%	7.779%	8.297%	16.806%
Dem. Republic of Congo	30/06/2029	4.000%	8.769%	0.252%	0.601%	-0.126%	0.450%	7.529%	9.046%
Ethiopia	11/12/2024	6.625%	9.715%	1.537%	7.766%	3.440%	6.982%	4.370%	9.714%
Gabon	16/06/2025	6.950%	16.994%	1.495%	16.478%	8.544%	13.667%	5.022%	17.694%
Ghana	07/08/2023	7.875%	13.004%	3.388%	17.998%	8.177%	13.458%	3.958%	13.215%
Ivory Coast	03/03/2028	6.375%	8.284%	1.308%	4.669%	1.409%	3.249%	4.751%	8.467%
Kenya	24/06/2024	6.875%	9.691%	2.848%	8.257%	4.011%	7.155%	4.403%	9.783%
Namibia	29/10/2025	5.250%	8.431%	1.413%	5.900%	2.193%	4.820%	3.970%	8.472%
Nigeria	12/07/2023	6.375%	15.147%	2.422%	21.667%	11.861%	16.323%	3.421%	15.173%
Rwanda	02/05/2023	6.625%	10.100%	0.930%	11.741%	5.079%	9.130%	3.643%	10.199%
Senegal	30/07/2024	6.250%	7.072%	1.483%	7.065%	1.993%	5.946%	3.320%	7.139%
Seychelles	01/01/2026	7.000%	7.396%	0.239%	0.365%	-0.305%	0.145%	6.880%	7.528%
South Africa	16/09/2025	5.875%	6.312%	1.928%	4.429%	1.561%	3.804%	3.592%	6.874%
Zambia	30/07/2027	8.970%	29.306%	1.537%	7.395%	10.823%	7.293%	13.785%	30.732%

Source: Bloomberg.



GOVERNMENT ACCOUNTS		SENSITIVITY TO OIL PRICES (US\$/BARREL)								
AKZ BILLION	2020 Budget	25.0	30.0	35.0	40.0	45.0	50.0	55.0		
Scenario 1 (Oil Tax Rate of 30.0%):										
Revenues	8,611	5,168	5,595	6,023	6,450	6,878	7,305	7,733		
% of GDP	20.4%	12.3%	13.3%	14.3%	15.3%	16.3%	17.3%	18.4%		
Tax Revenues	8,097	4,654	5,081	5,509	5,936	6,364	6,791	7,219 4,702		
Oil Revenues % of Total Tax Revenues	5,581 <i>68.9%</i>	2,137 45.9%	2,565 50.5%	2,992 54.3%	3,420 57.6%	3,847 60.5%	4,275 62.9%	65.1%		
Change in Revenues vs. 2020 Budget	00.570	-40.0%	-35.0%	-30.1%	-25.1%	-20.1%	-15.2%	-10.2%		
Scenario 2 (Oil Tax Rate of 32.5%):										
Revenues	8,611	5,346	5,809	6,272	6,735	7,198	7,662	8,125		
% of GDP	20.4%	12.7%	13.8%	14.9%	16.0%	17.1%	18.2%	19.3%		
Tax Revenues	8,097	4,832	5,295	5,758	6,221	6,684	7,148	7,611		
Oil Revenues	5,581	2,315	2,779	3,242	3,705	4,168	4,631	5,094		
% of Total Tax Revenues	68.9%	47.9% -37.9%	52.5% -32.5%	56.3% -27.2%	59.5% -21.8%	62.4% -16.4%	64.8% -11.0%	66.9% -5.6%		
Change in Revenues vs. 2020 Budget		-37.970	-32.370	-2/.270	-21.070	-10.470	-11.070	-3.070		
Scenario 3 (Oil Tax Rate of 35.6%):	0.611	E E 67	6,075	6 503	7,089	7,596	8,104	8,611		
Revenues % of GDP	8,611 20.4%	5,567 13.2%	14.4%	6,582 15.6%	16.8%	18.0%	19.2%	20.4%		
Tax Revenues	8,097	5,053	5,561	6,068	6,575	7,082	7,590	8,097		
Oil Revenues	5,581	2,537	3,044	3,551	4,059	4,566	5,073	5,581		
% of Total Tax Revenues	68.9%	50.2%	54.7%	58.5%	61.7%	64.5%	66.8%	68.9%		
Change in Revenues vs. 2020 Budget		-35.3%	-29.5%	-23.6%	-17.7%	-11.8%	-5.9%	0.0%		
Scenario 4 (Oil Tax Rate of 37.5%):										
Revenues	8,611	5,702	6,237	6,771	7,305	7,840	8,374	8,908		
% of GDP	20.4%	13.5%	14.8%	16.1%	17.3%	18.6%	19.9%	21.1%		
Tax Revenues	8,097	5,188	5,723	6,257	6,791	7,326	7,860	8,394		
Oil Revenues	5,581 <i>68.9%</i>	2,672 51.5%	3,206 56.0%	3,740 59.8%	4,275 62.9%	4,809 65.6%	5,343 68.0%	5,878 70.0%		
% of Total Tax Revenues Change in Revenues vs. 2020 Budget	00.976	-33.8%	-27.6%	-21.4%	-15.2%	-9.0%	-2.8%	3.5%		
Scenario 5 (Oil Tax Rate of 40.0%):										
Revenues	8,611	5,880	6,450	7,020	7,590	8,160	8,730	9,300		
% of GDP	20.4%	14.0%	15.3%	16.7%	18.0%	19.4%	20.7%	22.1%		
Tax Revenues	8,097	5,366	5,936	6,506	7,076	7,646	8,216	8,786		
Oil Revenues	5,581	2,850	3,420	3,990	4,560	5,130	5,700	6,270		
% of Total Tax Revenues	68.9%	53.1%	57.6%	61.3%	64.4%	67.1%	69.4%	71.4%		
Change in Revenues vs. 2020 Budget		-31.7%	-25.1%	-18.5%	-11.9%	-5.2%	1.4%	8.0%		
Non-oil Revenues	2,517	2,517	2,517	2,517	2,517	2,517	2,517	2,517		
Non-tax Revenues	514	514	514	514	514	514	514	514		
Expenditures	8,092	8,092	8,092	8,092	8,092	8,092	8,092	8,092		
% of GDP	19.2%	19.2%	19.2%	19.2%	19.2%	19.2%	19.2%	19.2%		
Current Expenditures	6,761	6,761	6,761	6,761	6,761	6,761	6,761	6,761		
Scenario 1 (Oil Tax Rate of 30.0%):										
Primary Fiscal Balance	1,850	-1,593	-1,165	-738	-311	117	544	972		
% of GDP Overall Fiscal Balance	4.4% 519	-3.8% -2,924	-2.8% -2,496	-1.8% -2,069	-0.7% -1,641	0.3% -1,214	1.3% -786	2.3% -359		
% of GDP	1.2%	-6.9%	-5.9%	-4.9%	-3.9%	-2.9%	-1.9%	-0.9%		
Scenario 2 (Oil Tax Rate of 32.5%):										
Primary Fiscal Balance	1,850	-1,415	-952	-489	-26	438	901	1,364		
% of GDP	4.4%	-3.4%	-2.3%	-1.2%	-0.1%	1.0%	2.1%	3.2%		
Overall Fiscal Balance % of GDP	519 1.2%	-2,746 -6.5%	-2,283 -5.4%	-1,819 -4.3%	-1,356 -3.2%	- 893 -2.1%	-430 -1.0%	33 0.1%		
Scenario 3 (Oil Tax Rate of 35.6%):										
Primary Fiscal Balance	1,850	-1,194	-686	-179	328	836	1,343	1,850		
% of GDP	4.4%	-2.8%	-1.6%	-0.4%	0.8%	2.0%	3.2%	4.4%		
Overall Fiscal Balance % of GDP	519 1.2%	-2,525 -6.0%	-2,017 -4.8%	-1,510 -3.6%	-1,003 -2.4%	-495 -1.2%	12 0.0%	519 1.2%		
	1.270	-0.070	-4.070	-5.070	-2.470	-1.270	0.070	1.270		
Scenario 4 (Oil Tax Rate of 37.5%):	1,850	1.050	-524	10	E44	1.070	1.612	2 147		
Primary Fiscal Balance % of GDP	1,850 4.4%	-1,059 -2.5%	-524 -1.2%	10 0.0%	544 1.3%	1,079 2.6%	1,613 3.8%	2,147 5.1%		
Overall Fiscal Balance	519	-2,389	-1,855	-1,321	-786	-252	282	817		
% of GDP	1.2%	-5.7%	-4.4%	-3.1%	-1.9%	-0.6%	0.7%	1.9%		
Scenario 5 (Oil Tax Rate of 40.0%):										
Primary Fiscal Balance	1,850	-880	-311	259	829	1,399	1,969	2,539		
				0.6%				6.0%		
% of GDP	4.4%	-2.1%	-0.7%		2.0%	3.3%	4.7%			
% of GDP Overall Fiscal Balance % of GDP	4.4% 519 1.2%	-2.1% -2,211 -5.2%	-1,641 -3.9%	-1,071 -2.5%	-501 -1.2%	69 0.2%	639 1.5%	1,209 2.9%		

Source: Angolan authorities and Eaglestone Securities.



Disclaimer

This document has been prepared by Eaglestone Advisory Limited which is authorised and regulated by the Financial Conduct Authority of the United Kingdom and its affiliates ("Eaglestone"), and is provided for information purposes only.

The information and opinions in this document are published for the assistance of the recipients, are for information purposes only, and have been compiled by Eaglestone in good faith using sources of public information considered reliable. Although all reasonable care has been taken to ensure that the information contained herein is not untrue or misleading we make no representation regarding its accuracy or completeness, it should not be relied upon as authoritative or definitive, and should not be taken into account in the exercise of judgments by any recipient. Accordingly, with the exception of information about Eaglestone, Eaglestone makes no representation as to the accuracy or completeness of such information.

This document does not have regard to specific investment objectives, financial situation and the particular needs of any specific recipient. Recipients should seek financial advice regarding the appropriateness of investment strategies discussed or recommended in this document and should understand that the statements regarding future prospects may not be realised. Unless otherwise stated, all views (including estimates, forecasts, assumptions or perspectives) herein contained are solely expression Eaglestone's research department.

This document must not be considered as an offer to sell or a solicitation to buy any investment instrument and distribution of this document does not oblige Eaglestone to enter into any transaction. Nothing in this document constitutes investment, legal, tax or accounting advice. The opinions expressed herein reflect Eaglestone's point of view as of the date of its publication and may be subject to change without prior notice

This document is intended for is made to and directed at (i) existing clients of Eaglestone and/or (ii) persons who would be classified as a professional client or eligible counterparty under the FCA Handbook of Rules and Guidance if taken on as clients by Eaglestone and/or (iii) persons who would come within Article 19 (investment professionals) or Article 49 (high net worth companies, trusts and associations) of the Financial Services and Markets Act 2000 (Financial Promotions) Order 2001 and/or (iv) persons to whom this communication could otherwise be lawfully made in the United Kingdom or by respective home jurisdictions regulators for non UK countries. None of the investments or investment services mentioned or described herein are available to "private customers" as defined by the rules of the Financial Conduct Authority ("FCA"). It should not be disclosed to retail clients (or equivalent) and should not be distributed to others or replicated without the consent of Eaglestone. Eaglestone name and the eagle logo are registered trademarks.

Additional information is available upon request.



4



AMSTERDAM - Herengracht 450-454 1017 CA - T: +31 20 240 31 60

CAPE TOWN - 22 Kildare Road Newlands 7700 - T: +27 21 674 0304

JOHANNESBURG -Unit 4, Upper Ground, Katherine & West 114 West Street, Sandton - T: +27 11 326 6644

LISBON - Av. da Liberdade, 105, 3rd Floor - T: +351 21 121 44 00

LONDON - 48 Dover Street - T: +44 20 7038 6200

 $LUANDA - Rua\ Marechal\ Br\'os\ Tito\ n°\ 35/37 - 13th\ Floor\ A - Kinaxixi,\ Ingombotas - T: +244\ 222\ 441\ 362$

 $MAPUTO-Avenida\ Vladimir\ Lenine-Edificio\ Millennium\ Park,\ Torre\ A,\ n^o\ 174,\ 4^o\ and ar\ S-T:\ +258\ 21\ 342\ 811$

Disclosures

Eaglestone was founded in December 2011 with the aim to be a committed partner for the development of businesses located primarily in Sub-Saharan Africa and to support the development of renewable energy projects on a global basis.

The company has three business activities - financial advisory services, asset management and brokerage - and currently has offices in Amsterdam, Cape Town London, Lisbon, Luanda and Maputo

Eaglestone is committed to operating and behaving according to the highest standards of corporate governance. Its subsidiary in the United Kingdom is authorized and regulated by the Financial Conduct Authority.

Eaglestone operates with a clear vision and mission to act on behalf of and in the best interests of all its stakeholders, whether they are investors, employees or users of its services.

EAGLESTONE SECURITIES

Research Tiago Bossa Dionísio (+351) 964 643 530 tiago.dionisio@eaglestone.eu

